

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. OSSUR.010C1 8407 10/646,097 08/22/2003 Hugh M. Herr EXAMINER 20995 7590 08/18/2004 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP BLANCO, JAVIER G 2040 MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 3738

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Action Summary	10/646,097	HERR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Javier G. Blanco	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication (25 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	<u>lune 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) Objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152)
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not i	received.	
Address and (a)			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview So	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/646,097

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants' election of Knee Actuator: Species D (magnetorheological actuator) in the reply filed on June 4, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 26-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected actuator species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on June 4, 2004.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicants' addition of claims 31-70 in the reply filed on June 4, 2004 is acknowledged.

Supplemental Election of Species Requirement

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species, from each of the three groups of species, of the claimed invention:

Method/System

Species A: Embodied in claims 1-10 and 21-30

Species B: Embodied in claims 11-20

Species C: Embodied in claims 31-45

Species D: Embodied in claims 46-58

Species E: Embodied in claims 59-70

Application/Control Number: 10/646,097

Art Unit: 3738

4

Sensory Data

Species A: Impact force of amputee's prosthetic leg impacting a knee cap/extension stop

Species B: Axial force, moment, or knee angle

Individual/Amputee's parameter

Species A: Varying size

Species B: Varying speed

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, from each of the three groups of species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,097

Art Unit: 3738

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 703-605-4259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

August 11, 2004

Pavio H. Willse Primary Examiner